

## Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

<b>Decision type</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Key Decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant Operational Decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Decision
<b>Approximate value</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Below £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £500,000 to £1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> over £1,000,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £100,000 to £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over £500,000	<input type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000
<b>Director<sup>1</sup></b>	Director of City Development		
<b>Contact person:</b>	David Ellis		Telephone number: 0113 3367859
<b>Subject<sup>2</sup>:</b>	Leeds Public Transport Investment Programme: Corn Exchange Gateway Traffic Regulation Order Objection Report to the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation)		
<b>Decision details<sup>3</sup>:</b>	<p>What decision has been taken? (Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.)</p> <p>The Chief Officer, Highways &amp; Transportation:</p> <p>a) considered and overruled the objection to Leeds City Council (Traffic Regulation) (Movement Restriction) (No. M14) Order 2012 Amendment Order No. 4 2021 giving authority to implement the advertised Order in full;</p> <p>b) requested the City Solicitor to make, seal and implement the Leeds City Council (Traffic Regulation) (Movement Restriction) (No. M14) Order 2012 Amendment Order No. 4 2021 as advertised in full; and</p> <p>c) requested the City Solicitor to inform the objector accordingly of the decision taken by the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation).</p>		
	<p>A brief statement of the reasons for the decision (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)</p> <p>The reasons for each of the decisions is explained below, using the numbering used</p>		


<sup>1</sup> Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

<sup>2</sup> If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

<sup>3</sup> Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	<p>above:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Approval of the advertised Order in full, including the part which prompted an objection, will allow the Corn Exchange Gateway scheme to be delivered in full so that all the scheme benefits can be realised;</li> <li>b) These legal actions are required so that the TRO changes in the Order can be delivered in full so that all the scheme benefits can be realised;</li> <li>c) This legal action is required to complete the statutory process for consulting on changes to TROs.</li> </ul> <p>The transport and policy rationale for the decision is described in full in the report (titled 'Leeds Public Transport Investment Programme: Corn Exchange Gateway Traffic Regulation Order Objection Report').</p> <p>Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision</p> <p>The option of accepting the objection and amending the order accordingly was considered but, following the officer recommendations, this option was rejected by the decision maker for the reasons set out in the Highways and Transportation Board Report (titled 'Leeds Public Transport Investment Programme: Corn Exchange Gateway Traffic Regulation Order Objection Report').</p>
<b>Affected wards:</b>	Hunslet and Riverside
<b>Details of consultation undertaken<sup>4</sup>:</b>	Executive Member: 22.9.2020, 20.10.2020
	Ward Councillors: 18.11.2020
	<p>Others:</p> <p>An extensive programme of public and stakeholder consultation on all aspects of the scheme was undertaken throughout 2018-2020, prior to the advertisement of the TROs this included a public consultation period in September and October 2019. The TROs were advertised for the full statutory period in March 2021.</p>
<b>Implementation</b>	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation David Ellis

<sup>4</sup> Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

	Implementation in Autumn 2021	
<b>List of Forthcoming Key Decisions<sup>5</sup></b>	Date Added to List:- N/A	
	<b>If Special Urgency or General Exception</b> a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision	
	<b>If Special Urgency</b> Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval Signature _____ Date _____	
<b>Publication of report<sup>6</sup></b>	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:	
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval Signature _____ Date _____	
<b>Call In</b>	Is the decision available <sup>7</sup> for call-in?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
	<b>If exempt from call-in</b> , the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:  The decision is not a Key or a Significant Operational decision	
<b>Approval of Decision</b>	Authorised decision maker <sup>8</sup> Gary Bartlett, Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation)	
	Signature  	Date: 21.09.21

<sup>5</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

<sup>6</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

<sup>7</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

<sup>8</sup> Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.